Ŋ

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation filed Against:))	
LEONILO L. MALABED, M.D. Certificate No. A-16847)	No: 03-2000-114240
Responde) ent)	

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on February 27, 2003

IT IS SO ORDERED February 20, 2003

Bv:

RONALD WENDER, M.D.

Chair - Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

2	of the State of California LYNNE K. DOMBROWSKI, State Bar No. 128080 Deputy Attorney General		
3	California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000		
4	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5578		
5	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7 8 9	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 03 2000 114240 OAH No. N2002-100253	
11	LEONILO L. MALABED, M.D.	STIPULATED SURRENDER OF	
12	145 Mountain Spring Avenue San Francisco, CA 94114	LICENSE AND ORDER	
13	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.		
14			
15	Respondent:		
16			
17	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties in this		
18	proceeding that the following matters are true:		
19	PARTIE	<u>S</u>	
20	1. Ron Joseph (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
21	of California. He brought this action solely in his of	fficial capacity and is represented in this	
22	matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Lynne K. Dombrowski,		
23	Deputy Attorney General.		
24	2. Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D. (R	espondent) is represented in this proceeding	
25	by attorney Christopher B. Marshall, whose address is Bonne, Bridges, Mueller, O'Keefe &		
26	Nichols, 3699 Wilshire Boulevard, 10th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90010.		
27	3. On or about June 4, 1956, the	Medical Board of California issued	
28	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A16847 to Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D The Certificate		

was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 03 2000 114240 and will expire on September 30, 2004, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 03 2000 114240 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality (Division) for the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on June 28, 2002. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 03 2000 114240 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 03 2000 114240. Respondent also has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel, at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 03 2000 114240, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate.

- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that cause for discipline exists based on those charges.
- 10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Division to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate without further process.

CONTINGENCY

- Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 13. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No.	
A16847, issued to Respondent Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D. is surrendered and accepted by t	he
Division of Medical Quality.	

- 14. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a physician and surgeon in California as of the effective date of the Division's Decision and Order.
- 15. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Division both his wall and pocket license certificates on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.
- 16. Respondent fully understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in the State of California, the Division shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 03 2000 114240 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent when the Division determines whether to grant or deny the petition.
- 17. Should Respondent ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other heath care licensing agency in the State of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 03 2000 114240 shall be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.
- 18. Respondent shall pay the Division its costs of investigation and enforcement in the amount of \$20,000.00 prior to issuance of a new or reinstated license.

23 | ///

24 ///

25 | ///

26 | ///

27 | ///

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 California. 8 DATED: \-\\\-03 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 DATED: 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Christopher B. Marshall. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

DATED: 1/24

23

24

25

26

27

28

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 03 2000 114240

-		•
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	LYNNE K. DOMBROWSKI, State Bar No. 128080 Deputy Attorney General	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
3	California Department of Justice	SACRAMENTO June 35 20 02 BY James 5 Market
4	455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004	
5	Telephone: (415) 703-5578 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	DETODE 7	מחוצה
8	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
9		
10	STATE OF CAL	IFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 03 2000 114240
12	LEONILO L. MALABED, M.D.	
13	145 Mountain Spring Avenue San Francisco, CA 94114	ACCUSATION
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A16847	
15	Respondent.	·
16		
17 Complainant alleges:		•
18	1. Ron Joseph (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs. 2. On or about June 4, 1956, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A16847 to Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2002, unless renewed.	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
. 24		
25		
26		
27		•
28		

28 | ///

///

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California (Division), under the authority of the following sections of the Business and Professions Code (Code).
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
 - "(c) Repeated negligent acts.
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon."
- 6. Section 725 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 7. Section 2238 of the Code provides that a violation of any federal statute or federal regulation or any of the statutes or regulations of this state regulating dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.

8. Section 2241 of the Code states, in pertinent part:

"[T]he prescribing, selling, furnishing, giving away, or administering or offering to prescribe, sell, furnish, give away, or administer any of the drugs or compounds mentioned in Section 2239 to an addict or habitué constitutes unprofessional conduct."

- 9. Section 2242 of the Code state, in pertinent part:
- "(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor, constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- 10. Section 2262 of the Code provides, in relevant part, that altering or modifying the medical records of any person with fraudulent intent or creating any false medical record, with fraudulent intent constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- 11. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."
- 12. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California . . . that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation . . . that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim . . . In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."
- 13. The incidents in this Accusation occurred between about July 1995 and about July 2000 while respondent was practicing as a physician in San Francisco, California. The investigation of this matter was initiated after receipt of information from the Bureau of Narcotics Enforcement, California Department of Justice, and the U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration, Diversion Program, in or about September of 2000.

///

1. Hereinafter referred to as the "Health and Safety Code".

COST RECOVERY

14. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

UNIFORM CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES ACT

- 15. Section 11007 of the California Health and Safety Code¹ defines a "controlled substance", in pertinent part, as a drug included in Schedules I through V, inclusive, pursuant to Health and Safety Code §§ 11054 through 11058.
- 16. Section 11056 of the Health and Safety Code sets forth Schedule III controlled substances.
- 17. Section 11153 of the Health and Safety Code states, in part, that a prescription for a controlled substance shall only be issued for a legitimate medical purpose by an individual practitioner acting in the usual course of his or her professional practice.
- 18. Section 11156 of the Health and Safety Code provides that no person shall prescribe for or administer, or dispense a controlled substance to an addict or habitual user, or to any person representing himself as such, except as permitted by this division.
- 19. Section 11157 of the Health and Safety Code provides that: "No person shall issue a prescription that is false or fictitious in any respect."
- 20. Section 11171 of the Health and Safety Code states that no person shall prescribe, administer, or furnish a controlled substance except under the conditions and in the manner provided by this division.
- 21. Section 11210 of the Health and Safety Code provides, in pertinent part, that a physician shall prescribe, furnish, or administer controlled substances only in the quantity and for the length of time as are reasonably necessary.

CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES/DANGEROUS DRUGS INVOLVED

22. Vicodin (5.0 mg. tablets) or Vicodin ES (7.5 mg. tablets) (Hydrocodone Bitartrate and Acetaminophen) is a Schedule III controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11056(e) of the Health and Safety Code and is a dangerous drug, as defined by section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code. Vicodin/Vicodin ES is a semi-synthetic narcotic analgesic and may have additive effects on central nervous system depression when taken in combination with other narcotic analgesics, anti-psychotic or anti-anxiety drugs, alcohol or other central nervous system depressants. Psychic dependence, physical dependence, and tolerance may develop upon repeated administrations.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct, Gross Negligence and/or Repeated Negligent Acts, and/or Excessive Prescribing re: Patient B.M.)

- 23. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or (c), 725, 2238, and 2242 of the Business and Professions Code and sections 11153, 11171 and 11210 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct, gorss negligence and/or repeated negligent acts for his repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing of Vicodin ES to patient B.M. and/or his prescribing without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor. The circumstances are detailed below.:
- 24. Starting in or about 1995, patient B.M. began to see respondent for relief of ongoing back and neck problems. Patient B.M. saw respondent over a period of about 5 years. The only physical examinations performed by respondent were the taking of the patient's blood pressure and pulse. Respondent did not refer patient B.M. to any other physician for evaluation or treatment.
- 25. From July 1995 until about November 1995, respondent prescribed #60 Vicodin ES (7.5 mg.) to patient B.M. on or about the following dates: 7/3, 8/24, 9/29, and 11/17, for a total of approximately #240 Vicodin ES.

26. In or about 1996, respondent prescribed #60 Vicodin ES (7.5 mg.) to patient B.M. on or about the following dates: 1/8, 2/29, 5/6, 6/6, 7/12, 8/2, 8/14, 9/9, 9/11, 10/24, 10/29, 11/18, 12/13, and 12/30, for a total of approximately #900 Vicodin ES.

- 27. On at least one occasion in 1996, respondent's prescriptions for Vicodin ES for patient B.M. were filled in different pharmacies on the same day, clearly indicating that more than one prescription for this drug had been issued for the same time and strongly suggesting an attempt to conceal the fact of the full amount prescribed, as follows:
- a. On or about 9/11/1996, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at a Rite-Aid Pharmacy and on 9/11/1996 re-filled a prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at Quincy Drugstore.
- 28. In or about 1997, respondent prescribed #60 Vicodin ES (7.5 mg.) to patient B.M. on or about the following dates: 1/10, 2/11, 2/20, 3/12,3/21, 5/2, 5/14, 6/11, 6/18, 8/1, 8/7, 8/21, 9/8, 10/6, 11/11, 11/18, and 12/29, and #100 Vicodin ES on 9/18, for a total of approximately #1,120 Vicodin ES.
- 29. In or about 1998, respondent prescribed #60 Vicodin ES (7.5 mg.) to patient B.M. on or about: 1/5, 2/5,3/4, 4/1,4/9, 5/22, 6/87/7, 7/31, 8/3110/21, 11/5, and 12/9 and #100 Vicodin ES on or about: 2/28, 3/28, 5/27, 8/13, 9/11, 10/21, 11/19, 12/22 (twice), and 12/30, for a total of approximately #1,780 Vicodin ES.
- 30. On at least two occasions in 1998, respondent's prescriptions of Vicodin ES for patient B.M. were filled in different pharmacies on the same day, clearly indicating that more than one prescription for this drug had been issued for the same time and strongly suggesting an attempt to conceal the fact of the full amount prescribed, as follows:
- a. On or about 10/21/1998, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at Embarcadero Center Pharmacy and filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at an Albertson's Drugstore; and,
- b. On or about 12/22/1998, patient B.M. filled two different prescriptions of respondent's at a Walgreen's Pharmacy each for #100 Vicodin ES.

- 31. In or about 1999, respondent prescribed #60 Vicodin ES (7.5 mg.) to patient B.M. on or about: 1/15, 3/10, 4/8, 4/30, 5/4, 8/6, 10/20, and 12/20; #50 Vicodin ES on 5/7; and #100 Vicodin ES on or about: 2/12, 3/19, 4/2,4/23, 4/29, 5/5,5/14,5/21,5/27,6/2, 6/4, 6/11, 6/21, 6/25, 7/2, 7/15, 7/28, 7/30, 8/6, 8/27, 9/16, 9/22, 10/1, 10/9, 10/16, 10/23, 10/26, 10/31, 11/11, 11/18, 11/26, 12/11, and 12/17, for a total of approximately #3,830 Vicodin ES.
- 32. On several occasions in 1999, respondent's prescriptions of Vicodin ES for patient B.M. were filled in different pharmacies within a few days of each other, clearly indicating that more than one prescription for this drug had been issued for the same time and strongly suggesting an attempt to conceal the fact of the full amount prescribed, as follows:
- a. On or about 4/29/1999, patient B.M. filled respondent's prescription for #100 Vicodin ES at a Rite-Aid Pharmacy. On or about 4/30/1999 patient filled a prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at Quincy Drugstore. On or about 5/4/1999 patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at Embarcadero Center Pharmacy and on 5/5/1999 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy, while on or about 5/7/1999, he filled respondent's prescription for #50 Vicodin ES at a Raley's Pharmacy;
- b. On or about 6/2/1999, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy and on 6/4/1999 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Rite-Aid Pharmacy;
- c. On or 7/28/1999, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy and on 7/30/1999 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Rite-Aid Pharmacy;
- d. On or about 8/24/1999, patient B.M. filled respondent's prescription for #60 Vicodin ES at Embarcadero Center Pharmacy and on 8/27/1999 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Smith's Pharmacy;
- e. On or about 10/20/1999, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at Embarcadero Center Pharmacy and on or about 10/23/1999 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Smith's Pharmacy, while on

or about 10/26/1999 he filled yet another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy; and,

- f. On or about 12/17/1999, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy while on or about 12/20/1999, he filled another prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at the Embarcadero Center Pharmacy.
- 33. In or about 2000, respondent prescribed #60 Vicodin ES (7.5 mg.) to patient B.M. on or about 1/28 and 3/6 and prescribed #100 Vicodin ES on or about 1/5, 1/13, 1/14, 2/1, 2/4, 2/10, 2/19, 3/1(twice), 3/10, 3/18(twice), 3/23, 4/8, 4/19, 5/5 (twice), 6/10, and 6/17, for a total of approximately #2,020 Vicodin ES for about the first six months of 2000.
- 34. On several occasions in 2000, respondent's prescriptions for Vicodin ES for patient B.M. were filled in different pharmacies within a few days of each other, clearly indicating that more than one prescription for this drug had been issued for the same time and strongly suggesting an attempt to conceal the fact of the full amount prescribed, as follows:
- a. On or about 1/13/2000, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at an Albertson's Pharmacy and on or about 1/14/2000 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a ShopKo Pharmacy;
- b. On or about 2/1/2000, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy and on or about 2/4/2000 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a ShopKo Pharmacy;
- c. On or about 3/1/2000, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy and on the same day filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Safeway Pharmacy while on 3/6/2000 he filled yet another prescription of respondent's for #60 Vicodin ES at the Embarcadero Center Pharmacy;
- d. On or about 3/18/2000, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Smith's Pharmacy and on 3/23/2000 filled another prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at an Albertson's Pharmacy; and,

1.7

28 ///

- e. On or about 5/5/2000, patient B.M. filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Walgreen's Pharmacy and on the same day filled a prescription of respondent's for #100 Vicodin ES at a Smith's Pharmacy.
- 35. In or about October 2000, patient B.M. was admitted to a drug rehabilitation facility for treatment of his addiction to the Vicodin ES prescribed by respondent, and by other health professionals.
- 36. Respondent failed to establish and enforce limits for this patient, through appropriate counseling within a therapeutic relationship and by controlling and limiting the amount of prescriptions and refills, to prevent patient diversion and/or excessive use.
- 37. Respondent failed to do a complete assessment of the patient's pain condition, including referring to or consulting with specialists and exploring other alternatives for treatment.
- 38. Respondent encouraged patient B.M. to provide names of other relatives and family members for additional prescriptions of Vicodin E.S.. Patient B.M. gave respondent the names of his wife, D.M., and his father-in-law, F.W., and respondent wrote prescriptions for D.M. and F.W. as detailed below in paragraphs 42 through 51 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 39. Respondent's medical records for patient B.M. are inadequate and incomplete and it can not be determined from respondent's records what planning and progress, if any, took place during treatment. Respondent failed to maintain adequate medical records of his treatment of patient B.M. in that: (1) respondent failed to document a medical basis for not utilizing therapeutic regimens commonly associated with the illnesses being treated; (2) respondent failed to document direct observational data, such as medical examinations, treatment planning and progress; and, (3) respondent failed to document the drugs and dosages prescribed and the medical indications therefor and their effects, and whether the patient was taking prescription drugs from other physicians.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct, Gross Negligence and/or Repeated Negligent Acts,
Prescribing to an Addict)

- 40. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or (c), 2238, and 2241 of the Business and Professions Code and section 11156 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct, gross negligence and/or repeated negligence by prescribing Vicodin ES in excessive quantities to an addict from between 1995 until about July 2000. The circumstances are detailed below.
- 41. The allegations of paragraphs 23 through 39, inclusive, are incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct, Gross Negligence and/or Dishonest Acts,
Unlawful and Excessive Prescribing re: Patient D.M.)

- 42. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or (e), 725, 2238 and 2242 and sections 11153, 11157, 11171 and 11210 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct, gross negligence and/ or dishonest or corrupt acts in that respondent has committed repeated acts of excessive prescribing without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor and without a legitimate medical purpose. The circumstances are detailed below.
- 43. In or about March 1996, respondent began issuing numerous prescriptions for Vicodin ES for D.M., the wife of patient B.M.. Respondent, however, never examined D.M. and they never personally met. Respondent gave the prescriptions he wrote for D.M. to patient B.M. and/or notified patient B.M. that the prescriptions were being called in to the pharmacies. Respondent has no medical records of any physical examinations of D.M..
- 44. In or about 1996, respondent prescribed#60 Vicodin ES (7.5 mg.) to D.M. on or about the following dates: 3/28, 5/16, 7/10, 9/19, and 10/31, for a total of approximately #300 Vicodin ES over about a seven-month time period.

28,

///

///

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Gross Negligence and/or Dishonest Acts/ Unlawful Prescribing re: Patient F.W.)

- 50. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or (e), 2238, and 2242 and sections 11153, 11157, 11171 and 11210 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct, gross negligence and/or dishonest or corrupt acts in that respondent has prescribed controlled substances without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor and without a legitimate medical purpose. The circumstances are detailed below.
- 51. On or about 2/3/1999, respondent issued a prescription for #100 Vicodin ES to F.W., father-in-law to patient B.M., which was filled at a K-Mart Pharmacy. At the time the prescription was filled, the address given for F.W. was patient B.M.'s San Francisco residential address. The prescription written by respondent was obviously fraudulent and intended for patient B.M. because F.W. had died on or about 5/24/1998.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Gross Negligence and/or Dishonest Acts/ Unlawful Prescribing re: Patients J.D. and M.D.)

- 52. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or (e), 2238 and 2242 and sections 11153, 11157, 11171 and 11210 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct, gross negligence and/ or dishonest or corrupt acts in that respondent has prescribed controlled substances without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor and without a legitimate medical purpose. The circumstances are detailed below.
- 53. On or about 7/30/1998, respondent issued a prescription for #100 Vicodin ES to a business client, who was an undercover federal agent, without performing a physical examination and without a medical indication therefor. Respondent assisted the client in developing a fictitious name, "J.D.", for the issued prescription and instructed him on what reasons should be contrived to justify the prescription for Vicodin. Respondent telephoned in

the prescription for "J.D." to a local pharmacy. Respondent did not perform a physical examination, kept no medical records for this transaction, and charged the client \$40 for the prescription.

54. On or about 8/6/1998, "J.D." asked respondent for more Vicodin. Respondent told "J.D." that he could only supply him with 100 Vicodin tablets a month and that, for additional Vicodin, "J.D." would need to supply fictitious patient names. Respondent then asked "J.D." for his mother's name and issued a prescription for #100 Vicodin ES in that name, "M.D.". Respondent never met or examined M.D., has no medical records for M.D., and clearly intended the prescription to be filled by and for his client "J.D.". Respondent telephoned in the prescription for "M.D." to a local pharmacy, which "J.D." later picked up. "J.D." paid respondent \$100 for the prescription.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct, Gross Negligence and/or Dishonest Acts/ and/or Creating False Medical Records)

55. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or (e) and 2262 of the Business and Professions Code and section 11157 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct, gross negligence and/or dishonest or corrupt acts for issuing false and/or fraudulent prescriptions for D.M., F.W., J.D. and M.D.. The circumstances are detailed in the allegations of paragraphs 42 through 54 and are incorporated herein by reference.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct, Gross Negligence and/or Dishonest Acts/ Failure to Maintain Adequate Medical Records)

56. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or (e), 2262, and 2266 of the Business and Professions Code in that respondent is guilty of unprofessional conduct, gross negligence and/or dishonest or corrupt acts for failing to maintain adequate and accurate medical records of his treatments of patient B.M., including the type and number of prescriptions issued, and/or for issuing prescriptions on or about the same day to be

·19

filled at different pharmacies or in the names of D.M. or F.W. with the intent to hide the actual amount of Vicodin ES prescribed to patient B.M.. The circumstances are detailed in the allegations of paragraphs 23 through 39 and paragraphs 42 through 51, and are incorporated herein by reference.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about 8/27/2001 an Information was filed in a criminal proceeding entitled *United States of America v. Leonilo Malabed* in U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case Number CR 01 0314 MAG. On or about 1/15/2002, respondent pleaded guilty and was convicted of a Class A misdemeanor for violating 12 U.S.C. section 1956, failure to maintain records relating to currency transactions. The underlying facts of this conviction arise from respondent's money-laundering operation of illegal drug monies through a bank which he owned and which was uncovered through a federal undercover investigation. Respondent was placed on probation for 3 years and ordered: to be subject to 6 months of home confinement with electronic monitoring; to provide access to any requested financial records; to pay a \$10,000 fine plus a \$25 special assessment fee; and, to relinquish his DEA license to issue and/or write prescriptions for any and all controlled substances that are regulated by the Drug Enforcement Administration.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number
 A16847, issued to Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;

	ll
1	
2	(
3	F
4	
5	
6	I
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	0
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	į
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	.
23	
24	.
25	;
26	5
27	,

3. Ordering Leonilo L. Malabed, M.D. to pay the Division of Medical

Quality the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATE: **June 28, 200**2

RON JOSEPH
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant

03573160-SF2002AD0053